Geometric, Mass, and Aerodynamic Characteristics of Selected Airplanes

Data on the geometric, mass, and aerodynamic stability and control characteristics are presented for seven airplanes. The airplanes include a general aviation airplane, two jet fighters, an executive business jet, two jet transports, and a STOL transport. The stability coefficients are presented in tabular form for each airplane. Coefficients that were unavailable have been presented with a numerical value of 0 in the following tables. The stability coefficients for the A-4D are presented in graphical form as a function of the Mach number and altitude. These plots show the large variations in the coefficients due to compressibility effects. The definitions of the stability coefficients and geometric data presented in the figures are given in the following nomenclature list. The information presented in this appendix was taken from [B.1], [B.2] and [B.3] given after the nomenclature list.

NOMENCLATURE

b Wing span $C_{L_{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial C_{L}}{\partial \alpha} (rad^{-1})$ $\overline{c} \text{ Mean chord}$ $C_{L_{\dot{\alpha}}} = \frac{\partial C_{L}}{\partial \left(\frac{\dot{\alpha}\overline{c}}{2u_{0}}\right)} (rad^{-1})$ $C_{L} = \frac{L}{QS}$ $C_{L_{M}} = \frac{\partial C_{L}}{\partial M}$ $C_{l_{\delta_{\alpha}}} = \frac{\partial C_{l}}{\partial \delta_{r}} (rad^{-1})$ $C_{L_{\delta_{e}}} = \frac{\partial C_{L}}{\partial \delta_{e}} (rad^{-1})$ $C_{l_{\delta_{e}}} = \frac{\partial C_{L}}{\partial \delta_{e}} (rad^{-1})$ $C_{D} = \frac{D}{QS}$ $C_{n} = \frac{N}{QSb}$ $C_{D_{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial C_{D}}{\partial \alpha} (rad^{-1})$ $C_{n_{\beta}} = \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \beta} (rad^{-1})$

$$\begin{split} C_{D_{M}} &= \frac{\partial C_{D}}{\partial \mathbf{M}} & C_{n_{p}} = \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial (pb/2u_{0})} \, (\mathrm{rad}^{-1}) \\ C_{D_{b_{e}}} &= \frac{\partial C_{D}}{\partial \delta_{e}} \, (\mathrm{rad}^{-1}) & C_{n_{r}} = \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial (rb/2u_{0})} \, (\mathrm{rad}^{-1}) \\ C_{m} &= \frac{M}{QS\overline{c}} & C_{m_{\dot{a}}} = \frac{\partial C_{m}}{\partial (\dot{\alpha}\overline{c}/2u_{0})} \, (\mathrm{rad}^{-1}) \\ C_{m_{\alpha}} &= \frac{\partial C_{m}}{\partial \alpha} \, (\mathrm{rad}^{-1}) & C_{m_{M}} = \frac{\partial C_{m}}{\partial \mathbf{M}} \\ C_{y} &= \frac{Y}{QS} & C_{m_{q}} = \frac{\partial C_{m}}{\partial (q\overline{c}/2u_{0})} \, (\mathrm{rad}^{-1}) \\ C_{y_{\beta}} &= \frac{\partial C_{y}}{\partial \beta} \, (\mathrm{rad}^{-1}) & C_{n_{b_{a}}} = \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \delta_{a}} \, (\mathrm{rad}^{-1}) \\ C_{y_{\delta_{r}}} &= \frac{\partial C_{y}}{\partial \delta_{r}} \, (\mathrm{rad}^{-1}) & C_{n_{\delta_{r}}} = \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial \delta_{a}} \, (\mathrm{rad}^{-1}) \\ C_{l} &= \frac{L}{QSb} & I_{x} \, \text{ Rolling moment of inertia} \\ C_{l_{p}} &= \frac{\partial C_{l}}{\partial \beta} \, (\mathrm{rad}^{-1}) & I_{z} \, Yawing moment of inertia \\ C_{l_{p}} &= \frac{\partial C_{l}}{\partial (pb/2u_{0})} \, (\mathrm{rad}^{-1}) & Q \, Dynamic pressure \\ C_{l_{r}} &= \frac{\partial C_{l}}{\partial (rb/2u_{0})} \, (\mathrm{rad}^{-1}) & u_{0} \, \text{Reference flight speed} \end{split}$$

REFERENCES

- B.1. Teper, G. L. Aircraft Stability and Control Data. Hawthorne, CA: System Technology, Technical Report 176-1, April 1969.
- B.2. Heffley, R. K.; and W. F. Jewell. Aircraft Handling Qualities Data. NASA CR-2144, December 1972.
- B.3. Mac Donald, R.A.; M. Garelick; and J.O'Grady. "Linearized Mathematical Models for De Havilland Canada 'Buffalo and Twin Otter' STOL Transports." U.S. Department of Transportation – Transportation System Center Report No. DOT-TSC-FAA-71-8, June 1971.

Longitudinal $M = 0.158$	<i>C</i> _L	<i>C</i> _D	$C_{L_{\alpha}}$	$C_{D_{\alpha}}$	$C_{m_{\alpha}}$	$C_{L_{\dot{\alpha}}}$	C _{mà}	C_{L_q}	<i>C</i> _{<i>m</i>_q}	С _{<i>L</i>_M}	С _{Дм}	С _{тм}	$C_{L_{\delta_e}}$	$C_{m_{\delta_e}}$
Lateral	$C_{y\beta}$	$C_{l_{\beta}}$	4.44 $C_{n\beta}$	C_{l_p}	-0.683 C_{n_p}	0.0 C _{lr}	-4.36 C_{n_r}	3.8 $C_{l_{\delta_a}}$	–9.96 C _{nõa}	0.0 C _{yδr}	0.0 $C_{l_{\delta_r}}$	0.0 $C_{n_{\delta_r}}$	0.355	-0.923
M = 0.158 Sea level	-0.564	-0.074	-0.071	-0.410	-0.0575	0.107	-0.125	-0.134	-0.0035	0.157	0.107	-0.072		

TABLE B.1 General aviation airplane: NAVION



FIGURE B.1

Three-view sketch and stability data for a general aviation airplane.

TABLE B.2	
Fighter aircraft: F104	-A

Longitudinal	C	C C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
M = 0.257	C_L	C_D	$C_{L_{\alpha}}$	$C_{D_{\alpha}}$	$C_{m_{\alpha}}$	$C_{L_{\dot{a}}}$	$C_{m_{\alpha}}$	C_{L_q}	C_{m_q}	C_{LM}	C_{D_M}	C_{mM}	$C_{L\delta_{\ell}}$	$C_{m\delta_{\ell}}$
Sea level	0.735	0.263	3.44	0.45	-0.64	0.0	-1.6	0.0	-5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.68	-1.46
M = 1.8 55,000 ft	0.2	0.055	2.0	0.38	-1.30	0.0	-2.0	0.0	-4.8	-0.2	0.0	-0.01	0.52	-0.10
Lateral M = 0.257	$C_{\gamma\beta}$	$C_{l\beta}$	$C_{n_{\beta}}$	C_{l_p}	C_{n_p}	C_{l_r}	C_{n_r}	$C_{l_{\delta_a}}$	$C_{n_{\delta_a}}$	$C_{y_{\delta_r}}$	$C_{l_{\delta_r}}$	$C_{n\delta_r}$		
Sea level	-1.17	-0.175	0.50	-0.285	-0.14	0.265	-0.75	0.039	0.0042	0.208	0.045	-0.16		
M = 1.8 55,000 ft		-0.09	0.24	-0.27	-0.09	0.15	-0.65	0.017	0.0025	0.05	0.008	-0.04		



FIGURE B.2

Three-view sketch and stability data for the F-104-A fighter.

TABLE B.3 Fighter aircraft: A-4D

Longitudinal $M = 0.4$	C_L	C_D	$C_{L_{\alpha}}$	$C_{D_{\alpha}}$	$C_{m_{\alpha}}$	$C_{L_{\dot{\alpha}}}$	$C_{m_{\dot{\alpha}}}$	C_{L_q}	C_{m_q}	C_{L_M}	C_{D_M}	C_{m_M}	$C_{L_{\delta_{e}}}$	$C_{m\delta_e}$
Sea level	0.28	0.03	3.45	0.30	-0.38	0.72	-1.1	0.0	-3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.36	-0.50
M = 0.8 35,000 ft	0.30	0.038	4.0	0.56	-0.41	1.12	-1.65	0.0	-4.3	0.15	0.03	-0.05	0.4	-0.60
Lateral $M = 0.4$	$C_{y_{\beta}}$	$C_{l_{\beta}}$	$C_{n_{\beta}}$	C_{l_p}	C_{n_p}	C_{l_r}	C _n ,	$C_{l_{\delta_a}}$	$C_{n_{\delta_a}}$	$C_{y\delta_r}$	$C_{l_{\delta_r}}$	$C_{n_{\delta_r}}$		
Sea level	-0.98	-0.12	0.25	-0.26	0.022	0.14	-0.35	0.08	0.06	0.17	-0.105	0.032		
M = 0.8 35,000 ft	-1.04	-0.14	0.27	-0.24	0.029	0.17	-0.39	0.072	0.04	0.17	-0.105	0.032		

-



FIGURE B.3

Three-view sketch and stability data for the A-4D fighter.



FIGURE B.4 C_{L_a} versus the Mach number.

FIGURE B.5 $C_{L_{\alpha}}$ versus the Mach number.



 $C_{D_{\alpha}}$ versus the Mach number.

FIGURE B.7 $C_{m_{\alpha}}$ versus the Mach number.

 $C_{m_{\dot{\alpha}}}$ versus the Mach number.



 $C_{m_{\delta e}}$ versus the Mach number.









FIGURE B.23 $C_{l_{\delta a}}$ versus the Mach number.



FIGURE B.24 $C_{n_{Bd}}$ versus the Mach number.

TABLE B.25 Business Jet: Jetstar

Longitudinal $M = 0.20$	C_L	C _D	$C_{L_{\alpha}}$	$C_{D_{\alpha}}$	C _{ma}	$C_{L_{\dot{\alpha}}}$	C _{ma}	C_{l_q}	C_{m_q}	C _{LM}	C _{DM}	С _{тм}	$C_{L_{\delta_{\mathbf{r}}}}$	$C_{m_{\delta_e}}$
Sea level	0.737	0.095	5.0	0.75	-0.80	0.0	-3.0	0.0	-8.0	0.0	0.0	-0.05	0.4	-0.81
M = 0.80 40,000 ft	0.4	0.04	6.5	0.60	-0.72	0.0	-0.4	0.0	-0.92	0.0	-0.6	-0.60	0.44	-0.88
Lateral $M = 0.20$	$C_{y\beta}$	$C_{l_{eta}}$	$C_{n\beta}$	C_{l_p}	C_{n_p}	C_{l_r}	C_{n_r}	$C_{l_{\delta_a}}$	$C_{n_{\delta_a}}$	$C_{y_{\delta_r}}$	$C_{l_{\delta_r}}$	$C_{n\delta_r}$		
Sea level	-0.72	-0.103	0.137	-0.37	-0.14	0.11	-0.16	0.054	0.0075	0.175	0.029	-0.063		
M = 0.80 40,000 ft	-0.75	-0.06	0.13	-0.42	~0.756	0.04	-0.16	0.060	-0.06	0.16	0.029	-0.057		



FIGURE B.25

Three-view sketch and stability data for a Jetstar executive business jet.

Transport :	vircraft:	Convair	880											
Longitudinal M = 0.25	c_{r}	c_{b}	C_{L_a}	C_{D_a}	$C_{m_{\alpha}}$	$\mathcal{C}_{L_{\dot{a}}}$	$C_{m_{\tilde{a}}}$	$C_{L_{a}}$	C_{m_o}	c_{ι_u}	$c_{b_{u}}$	ن	J	0
Sea level M = 0.8	0.68	0.08	4.52	0.27	-0.903	2.7	-4.13	7.72	-12.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.213	-0.637
35,000 ft	0.347	0.024	4.8	0.15	-0.65	2.7	-4.5	7.5	-4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.190	-0.57
Lateral $M = 0.25$	$C_{\gamma_{m{eta}}}$	$C_{l_{eta}}$	$C_{n_{\beta}}$	C_{l_p}	C_{n_p}	C'_{i}	C_{n_r}	$C_{l_{\delta_a}}$	$C_{n_{\delta_{\alpha}}}$	C_{y_b}	C_{l_k}	Ů		
sea level	-0.877	-0.196	0.139	-0.381	-0.049	0.198	-0.185	-0.038	0.017	0.216	0.0226	-0.096		
M = 0.8 5,000 ft	-0.812	-0.177	0.129	-0.312	-0.011	0.153	-0.165	-0.050	0.008	0 184	0.010			
lote: All deriva	tives are po	er radian								101-0	610.0	0/0.0-		

	Conva
ABLE B.26	ransport aircraft:
	C





Three-view sketch and stability data for a Convair 880 jet transport.

Longitudinal	C _L	C _D	$C_{L_{\alpha}}$	C _{Da}	C _{ma}	$C_{L_{\dot{lpha}}}$	$C_{m_{\dot{lpha}}}$	C_{L_q}	C_{m_q}	C_{L_M}	C_{D_M}	C _{mM}	$C_{L_{\delta_{e}}}$	$C_{m_{\delta_e}}$
M = 0.25 Sea level	1.11	0.102	5.70	0.66	-1.26	6.7	-3.2	5.4	-20.8	-0.81	0.0	0.27	0.338	-1.34
M = 0.90 40,000 ft	0.5	0.042	5.5	0.47	-1.6	0.006	-9.0	6.58	-25.0	0.2	0.25	-0.10	0.3	-1.2
Lateral $M = 0.25$	$C_{y_{\beta}}$	$C_{l_{\beta}}$	$C_{n_{\beta}}$	C_{l_p}	C_{n_p}	C_{l_r}	C_{n_r}	$C_{l_{\delta_a}}$	$C_{n_{\delta_a}}$	$C_{y\delta_r}$	$C_{l_{\delta_r}}$	$C_{n_{\delta_r}}$		
M = 0.25 Sea level	-0.96	-0.221	0.150	-0.45	-0.121	0.101	-0.30	0.0461	0.0064	0.175	0.007	-0.109		
M = 0.90 40,000 ft	-0.85	-0.10	0.20	-0.30	0.20	0.20	-0.325	0.014	0.003	0.075	0.005	-0.09		

TABLE B.27 Transport aircraft: Boeing 747

Appendix 417



FIGURE B.27

Three-view sketch and stability data for a large Boeing 747 jet transport.

DIOL HIAL	1 Judei													
Longitudinal M = 0 14	\mathcal{C}_L	c_{b}	$C_{L_{\alpha}}$	C_{D_a}	$C_{m_{\alpha}}$	$C_{L_{\dot{a}}}$	C _{ma}	C_{L_q}	C _{me}	C_{L_M}	C_{D_m}	C_{M_m}	$C_{L_{\delta_{\ell}}}$	C _{mδe}
Sea level	1.5	0.127	5.24	0.67	-0.78	1.33	-6.05	7.83	-35.6	0	0	0	0.465	-2.12
M = 0.37 10,000 ft	0.3	0.036	5.24	0.67	-0.78	1.33	-6.05	7.83	-35.6	0	0	0	0.465	-2.12
Lateral M = 0.14	$C_{j_{m{B}}}$	$C_{l_{B}}$	$C_{n_{\beta}}$	C_{l_p}	C_{n_p}	C_{l_r}	C_{n_r}	$C_{l_{\delta_a}}$	$C_{n_{\delta_a}}$	$C_{y_{\delta_a}}$	$C_{l_{\delta_r}}$	$C_{n_{\delta_r}}$		
Ni - U.14 Sea level	-0.362	-0.125	0.101	-0.53	-0.283	0.410	-0.188	0.20	0	-0.233	-0.024	0.107		
M = 0.37 10,000 ft	-0.362	-0.125	0.101	-0.53	-0.037	0.113	-0.171	0.20	0	-0.233	-0.024	0.107		
Note: All deriva	tives are pe	er radian.												

TABLE B.28 STOL Transport



FIGURE B.28

Three-view sketch and stability data for a STOL transport.

Mathematical Review of Laplace Transforms and Matrix Algebra

REVIEW OF MATHEMATICAL CONCEPTS

Laplace Transformation

The Laplace transform is a mathematical technique that has been used extensively in control system synthesis. It is a very powerful mathematical tool for solving differential equations. When the Laplace transformation technique is applied to a differential equation it transforms the differential equation to an algebraic equation. The transformed algebraic equation can be solved for the quantity of interest and then inverted back into the time domain to provide the solution to the differential equation.

The Laplace transformation is a mathematical operation defined by

$$\mathscr{L}[f(t)] = \int_0^\infty f(t) e^{-st} dt = F(s)$$
(C.1)

where f(t) is a function of time. The operator \mathcal{L} and the complex variable s are the Laplace operator and variable, respectively, and F(s) is the transform of f(t). The Laplace transformation of various functions f(t) can be obtained by evaluating Equation (C.1). The process of obtaining f(t) from the Laplace transform F(s), called the inverse Laplace transformation, is given by

$$f(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}[F(s)] \tag{C.2}$$

where the inverse Laplace transformation is given by the following integral relationship:

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c^{-\infty}}^{c^{+\infty}} F(s) e^{st} ds$$
 (C.3)

Several examples of Laplace transformations follow.

EXAMPLE PROBLEM C.1. Consider the function $f(t) = e^{-at}$.

Solution. The Laplace transform of this expression yields

$$\mathscr{L}[f(t)] = \mathscr{L}[e^{-at}] = \int_0^\infty e^{-at} e^{st} dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-(a+s)t} dt$$