

More on Quantifiers

- Quantifiers are shorthands for disjunction (\exists) & conjunction (\forall)

Consider predicate $p: X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ over finite domain $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$

$$\text{Then } \forall x \in X : p(x) \equiv p(x_1) \wedge p(x_2) \wedge \dots \wedge p(x_n)$$

$$\exists x \in X : p(x) \equiv p(x_1) \vee p(x_2) \vee \dots \vee p(x_n)$$

- So all laws of propositional logic are applicable to formulae with quant.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DeMorgan's law: } \neg(\forall x \in X : p(x)) &\equiv \neg(p(x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge p(x_n)) \\ &\equiv \neg p(x_1) \vee \dots \vee \neg p(x_n) \\ &\equiv \exists x \in X : \neg p(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \neg(\exists x \in X : p(x)) \equiv \forall x \in X : \neg p(x)$$

Example: It's not the case that someone has a toy.

\equiv Everyone does not have a toy.

- Care must be taken when quantification is over empty set.

$\forall x \in \emptyset : p(x)$ is a tautology (equivalent to TRUE)

$\exists x \in \emptyset : p(x)$ is a contradiction (equivalent to FALSE)

Example: "All unicorns can swim" is TRUE (since no unicorns exist).

"Exist unicorn can swim" is FALSE (\neg).

- Formula with free variable: $\exists y \in \mathbb{R} : y^2 = x$

Here y is bound, whereas x is free. So this is a predicate over x . What is that predicate?

- Formula with multiple quantifier: $\forall x \in \mathbb{N} \exists y \in \mathbb{R} : y^2 = x$

y is bound, x is free
 \Rightarrow predicate over x

no free variable (called sentence)
 \Rightarrow Either TRUE or FALSE.