CprE 450/550x Distributed Systems and Middleware

Fault Tolerance

Yong Guan 3216 Coover Tel: (515) 294-8378 Email: <u>guan@ee.iastate.edu</u> April 22 & 27, 2004

Readings for Today's Lecture

- References
- > Chapter 7 of "Distributed Systems: Principles and Paradigms"

Basic Concepts

- Availability
- Reliability
- Safety
- Maintainability
- Security

Basic Concepts (cont.)

- A system is said to fail when it cannot meet its promises
- An error is a part of a system's state that may lead to a failure
- The cause of an error is called fault
- Building dependable systems closely relates to controlling faults
- Fault tolerance means that a system can provide its services even in the presence of faults

Basic Concepts (cont.)

- Transient fault
- Intermittent fault
- Permanent fault

Type of failure	Description
Crash failure	A server halts, but is working correctly until it halts
Omission failure Receive omission Send omission	A server fails to respond to incoming requests A server fails to receive incoming messages A server fails to send messages
Timing failure	A server's response lies outside the specified time interval
Response failure Value failure State transition failure	The server's response is incorrect The value of the response is wrong The server deviates from the correct flow of control
Arbitrary failure	A server may produce arbitrary responses at arbitrary times













Agreement in Faulty Systems

Lamport (1983)

Given m faulty processes, agreement can be achieved only if 2m+1 correctly functioning processes are present, for a total of 3m+1 processes.



Reliable Client-Server Communication

 A communication channel may exhibit crash, omission, timing, and arbitrary failures.

Reliable transport protocol: TCP

- RPC semantics in the presence of failures
 - $\-$ RPC: hide communication by making remote procedure calls as local ones

– If both C and S work perfectly, RPC does its job well.

- Problem: It is not easy to mask the difference between remote and local calls in the presence of failures.

Reliable Client-Server Communication

- Five classes of RPC failures:
 - 1. The client is unable to locate the server
 - $2. \ \mbox{The request}$ message from the client to the server is lost
- 3. The server crashes after receiving a request
- $4. \label{eq:4.1}$ The reply message from the server to the client is lost
- $5. \ \mbox{The client crashes after sending a request}$





RPC: Lost reply message

 I dempotent: Some operations can safely be repeated as often as necessary with no damage being done.

RPC: Client Crashes

- What happens if a client sends a request to a server to do some work and crashes before the server replies?
- Orphan: At a point, a computation is active and no parent is waiting for the result. Such unwanted computation is called orphan.
 - Waste CPU cylces
 - Can lock files or otherwise tie up valuable resources

RPC: Client Crashes

- Four things can be done:
 - Extermination: Log what is about to do before RPC stub sends a RPC message. After reboot, the log is checked and the orphan is explicitly killed off.
 - Reincarnation: Divide time up into sequentially numbered epochs.
 After reboot, it broadcasts a message to all machines declaring the start of a new epoch. Once such broadcast mesg received, all remote computations on behalf of that client are killed.
 - Gentle reincarnation: When epoch broadcast comes in, each machine checks to see if it has any remote computations, and if so, tries to locate their owner. Only if the owner cannot be found is the computation killed.
 - Expiration: Each RPC is given a time T to do its job.

Reliable Group Communication

- TCP offers reliable point-to-point channels.
- How to implement reliable group communication?
- One way: Let each process set up a point-to-point connection to each other process it wants to. Not efficient
- What do we mean "Reliable Group Communication"? A message that is sent to a process group should be delivered to each member of that group.

Reliable Group Communication

- Need further definition for "Reliable Group Communication"
 - What about new member joining the group during the communication?
 - What about an existing member leaving the group? Crashes?
- In the presence of faulty processes, multicasting is considered to be reliable when it can be guaranteed that all non-faulty group members receive the message. Agreement should be reached.



Scalability in Reliable Multicasting

- Problem: Feedback implosion
- Will negative acknowledgement solve the problem?
- Is there any problem with only returning negative acknowledgement?
- Feedback suppression











Mes	Message Ordering (1)					
Fo	Four different ordering:					
	 Unordered multicasts 					
	•FIFO-ordered multicasts					
	•Causally-ordered multicasts					
	•Totally-ordered multicasts					
	Process P1	Process P2	Process P3			
	sends m1	receives m1	receives m2			
	sends m2	receives m2	receives m1			
Three communicating processes in the same group. The ordering of events per process is shown along the vertical axis.						

Process P1	Process P2	Process P3	Process P4
sends m1	receives m1	receives m3	sends m3
sends m2	receives m3	receives m1	sends m4
	receives m2	receives m2	
	receives m4	receives m4	
sende		ne group with two e delivery order o nulticasting	

Multicast	Basic Message Ordering	Total-ordered Delivery?
Reliable multicast	None	No
FIFO multicast	FIFO-ordered delivery	No
Causal multicast	Causal-ordered delivery	No
Atomic multicast	None	Yes
FIFO atomic multicast	FIFO-ordered delivery	Yes
Causal atomic multicast	Causal-ordered delivery	Yes



Distributed Commit

- The distributed commit problem involves having an operation being performed by each member of a process group, or none at all.
- One-phase commit protocol Problem: if one of the participant can not perform the operation, no way to tell the coordinator
- Two phase commit protocol
- Three phase commit protocol

Two Phase Commit Protocol

- The coordinator sends a VOTE_REQUEST message to all participants
- When a participant receives VOTE_REQUEST, it returns either VOTE_COMMI T or VOTE_ABORT to the coordinator.
- The coordinator collects all votes from the participants.
 If all participants have voted to commit the transaction, then so will the coordinator. In that case, it sends a GLOBAL_COMMIT to all participants. Otherwise, it multicasts a GLOBAL_ABORT.
- Each participant that voted for a commit waits for the final reaction by the coordinator. Locally commit if a GLOBAL_COMMI T is received or abort if GLOBAL_ABORT is received.



State	of Q	Action by P
СОМ	МІТ	Make transition to COMMIT
ABO	RT	Make transition to ABORT
INIT		Make transition to ABORT
REAL	Y	Contact another participant
		articipant P when residing in state contacted another participant C







Recovery

- Backward recovery Checkpoint
- Forward recovery











	49
	•
Any Questions?	
See you next time.	