CprE 450/550x Distributed Systems and Middleware

Fault Tolerance

Yong Guan 3216 Coover Tel: (515) 294-8378 Email: <u>guan@ee.iastate.edu</u> April 22 & 27, 2004

Readings for Today's Lecture

- > References
 - Chapter 7 of "Distributed Systems: Principles and Paradigms"



- Availability
- Reliability
- Safety
- Maintainability
- Security

Basic Concepts (cont.)

- A system is said to fail when it cannot meet its promises
- An error is a part of a system's state that may lead to a failure
- The cause of an error is called fault
- Building dependable systems closely relates to controlling faults
- Fault tolerance means that a system can provide its services even in the presence of faults

Basic Concepts (cont.)

- Transient fault
- Intermittent fault
- Permanent fault

Failure Models

Type of failure	Description
Crash failure	A server halts, but is working correctly until it halts
Omission failure Receive omission Send omission	A server fails to respond to incoming requests A server fails to receive incoming messages A server fails to send messages
Timing failure	A server's response lies outside the specified time interval
Response failure Value failure State transition failure	The server's response is incorrect The value of the response is wrong The server deviates from the correct flow of control
Arbitrary failure	A server may produce arbitrary responses at arbitrary times

Different types of failures.

5













Agreement in Faulty Systems

Lamport (1983)

Given m faulty processes, agreement can be achieved only if 2m+1 correctly functioning processes are present, for a total of 3m+1 processes.









Client	Otractor		Server	0		
Reissue strategy	Strateg	y M -> P MC(P)	C(MP)	PMC	gy P -> M PC(M)	C(PM)
Always	DUP	ОК	ОК	DUP	DUP	OK
Never	ОК	ZERO	ZERO	ОК	ок	ZERO
Only when ACKed	DUP	ОК	ZERO	DUP	ОК	ZERO
Only when not ACKed	ОК	ZERO	ОК	ок	DUP	ОК

RPC: Lost reply message

 I dempotent: Some operations can safely be repeated as often as necessary with no damage being done.

19

20

RPC: Client Crashes

- What happens if a client sends a request to a server to do some work and crashes before the server replies?
- Orphan: At a point, a computation is active and no parent is waiting for the result. Such unwanted computation is called orphan.
 - Waste CPU cylces
 - Can lock files or otherwise tie up valuable resources

RPC: Client Crashes

• Four things can be done:

- Extermination: Log what is about to do before RPC stub sends a RPC message. After reboot, the log is checked and the orphan is explicitly killed off.

- Reincarnation: Divide time up into sequentially numbered epochs. After reboot, it broadcasts a message to all machines declaring the start of a new epoch. Once such broadcast mesg received, all remote computations on behalf of that client are killed.
- Gentle reincarnation: When epoch broadcast comes in, each machine checks to see if it has any remote computations, and if so, tries to locate their owner. Only if the owner cannot be found is the computation killed.
- Expiration: Each RPC is given a time T to do its job.





















Process P1Process P2Process P3Process P4sends m1receives m1sends m3sends m2receives m3receives m1sends m4receives m2receives m2receives m4Four processes in the same group with two different senders, and a possible delivery order of messages under FIFO-ordered multicasting	essage O	ordering (2)	
sends m2 receives m3 receives m1 sends m4 receives m2 receives m2 receives m4 receives m4	Process P1	Process P2	Process P3	Process P4
receives m2 receives m2 receives m4 receives m4 Four processes in the same group with two different senders, and a possible delivery order of messages	sends m1	receives m1	receives m3	sends m3
receives m4 receives m4 Four processes in the same group with two different senders, and a possible delivery order of messages	sends m2	receives m3	receives m1	sends m4
Four processes in the same group with two different senders, and a possible delivery order of messages		receives m2	receives m2	
senders, and a possible delivery order of messages		receives m4	receives m4	
	sende	rs, and a possible	e delivery order o	

Multicast	Basic Message Ordering	Total-ordered Delivery?
Reliable multicast	None	No
FIFO multicast	FIFO-ordered delivery	No
Causal multicast	Causal-ordered delivery	No
Atomic multicast	None	Yes
FIFO atomic multicast	FIFO-ordered delivery	Yes
Causal atomic multicast	Causal-ordered delivery	Yes





 The distributed commit problem involves having an operation being performed by each member of a process group, or none at all.

- One-phase commit protocol
 Problem: if one of the participant can not perform the operation, no way to tell the coordinator
- Two phase commit protocol
- Three phase commit protocol









Two-Phase Cor	nmit (4)	40
	actions by participant:	
 Steps taken by participant process in 2PC. 	<pre>write INIT to local log; wait for VOTE_REQUEST from coordinator; if timeout { write VOTE_ABORT to local log; exit; } if participant votes COMMIT { write VOTE_COMMIT to local log; send VOTE_COMMIT to local log; send VOTE_COMMIT to coordinator; wait for DECISION from coordinator; wait for DECISION from coordinator; wait of DECISION from coordinator; wait until DECISION_REQUEST to other participants; wait until DECISION as received; /* remain blocked */ write DECISION to local log; } if DECISION == GLOBAL_COMMIT write GLOBAL_COMMIT to local log; else if DECISION == GLOBAL_ABORT write GLOBAL_ABORT to local log; } else { write VOTE_ABORT to local log; send VOTE ABORT to coordinator; } </pre>	

















	49
Any Questions?	
See you next time.	

L